NEW GUIDELINES

A Guideline Protocol for the Assessment of the Mitral Valve With a View to Repair

From the British Society of Echocardiography Education Committee

Richard Steeds, Chair, Bushra Rana (Lead Author),

Jane Allen, John Chambers, Richard Jones, Guy Lloyd, Nicola Smith, Julie Sandoval, Gill Wharton

1. Introduction

- 1. 1 The BSE Education Committee has previously published a minimum dataset for a standard adult transthoracic echocardiogram, available on-line at www.bsecho.org. This document specifically states that the minimum dataset is usually only sufficient when the echocardiographic study is entirely normal. The aim of the Education Committee is to publish a series of appendices to cover specific pathologies to support this minimum dataset.
- 1.2 The intended benefits of such supplementary recommendations are to:
- Support cardiologists and echocardiographers to develop local protocols and quality control programs for adult transthoracic study
- Promote quality by defining a set of descriptive terms and measurements, in conjunction with a systematic approach to performing and reporting a study in specific disease-states
- Facilitate the accurate comparison of serial echocardiograms performed in patients at the same or different sites.
- 1.3. This document gives recommendations for the image and analysis dataset required in patients being assessed for mitral regurgitation with a view to suitability for repair. This is of particular importance given the improvement in life expectancy and quality of life of patients undergoing successful mitral valve repair compared to those who have an operation to replace their mitral valve. The views and measurements are supplementary to those outlined in the minimum dataset and are given assuming a full study will be performed in all patients.
- 1.4 When the condition or acoustic windows of the patient prevent the acquisition of one or more components of the supplementary Dataset, or when measurements result in misleading information (e.g. off-axis measurements) this should be stated.
- 1.5 This document is a guideline for echocardiography in the assessment of the mitral valve with a view to repair and will be updated in accordance with changes directed by publications or changes in practice.

Assessment of the LV

View	Modality	Measurements	Explanatory Note	Image
PLAX	2D	Segments viewed¹ Leaflet motion² :normal :excessive	A2/P2 Morphologically normal leaflet appearance; coaptation failure or leaflet perforation During systole, leaflet billowing into LA, beyond plane of mitral annulus >2mm (prolapse)	P2
		:restriction³ Annulus measurement⁴	Leaflet pulled below annulus level with restriction in systole alone (type 3A), coaptation depth<10mm (end systole, distance from point where leaflets coapt to plane of annulus³ or in systole and diastole (type 3B, usually Rheumatic disesae). AP diameter (short axis) End systole 29mm±3.5mm End diastole 33mm±3.2mm	A2 P2
		Leaflet thickness ⁵	diastole, zoom mode: normal thickness <5mm comment on integrity of leaflet	
DACE 2	Colour Doppler	Calcification ⁶ :Annulus Ca2+score:leaflets and subvalve	Annulus scoring system ⁶ (0-5: P1,P2,P3,AMVL, Commissures) Note: location and extent Leaflet- free edge, body, near insertion Subvalve-chords, PM	

		LV wall motion abnormalities	LV geometry affecting subvalvular anatomy, usually restrictive leaflet motion (type3A)	JPEO.
		MR jet direction	Contralateral to side of prolapse (ie PMVL prolapse=anteriorly directed jet) Ipsilateral to site of restriction (ie PMVL restriction=posteriorly directed jet) Central in central coaptation failure 'Complex' jet in multiple lesions	JPIO -
				A2 P2
Modified PLAX Toward AV Sweep (scout) through valve segments	2D Colour	Leaflet motion Leaflet thickness Calcification LV wall motion abnormalities	A1/P1 and AL commissure as above	A2
Modified PLAX Away from AV Sweep (scout) through valve segments	Colour Doppler 2D Colour Doppler	MR jet direction Segments viewed Leaflet motion Leaflet thickness Calcification LV wall motion abnormalities MR jet direction	A3/P3 and PM commissure as above as above	30

PSAX,	2D	Segments viewed ¹	P1,2,3 and A1,2,3 com	<u>.</u> . 9
MV level		Calcification :Annulus Ca2+ score :leaflets	Annulus scoring system5 (0-5: P1,P2,P3,AMVL, Commissures) As above	A2 - A1 A3 P1 P3 P2
	Colour Doppler	Annulus measurement ⁴	commissural diameter (long axis) End systole not possible by method employed by study End diastole 37mm±4.3mm	
PSAX,			As above	22-28
PM level		origin of MR jet		
			As above	.PEG
		subvalve calcification		
A4CV	2D	Segments viewed ¹	A1/2 (may see A3) and P1/2	6
		Leaflet motion	Note: caution required not to over diagnose prolapse in this view: annular plane rises above line of normal coaptation and may give false impression of leaflet falling into LA, see reference (7)	P2 P1
		Leaflet thickness Calcification	As above	
		Annulus measurement ⁴	Oblique cut through annulus (diameter) End systole 35mm±3.1mm End diastole 30mm±2.8mm	
		LV wall motion abnormalities		
	Colour Doppler	MR jet direction	As above	
A2CV	2D	Segments viewed	A1/2/(3) and P3	
		Leaflet motion Leaflet thickness Calcification LV wall motion abnormalities	As above	A3 A2 A1 P3
	Colour Doppler	MR jet direction		hann 100

A3CV	2D	Segments viewed	A2 and P2	6
	Colour Doppler	Leaflet motion Leaflet thickness Calcification LV wall motion abnormalities MR jet direction	As above	P2 A2
General		MR severity	See regurgitation quantification guidelines	
consider- ations		MR aetiology ²	Where possible comment on most likely cause (eg rheumatic, degenerative, functional, ischaemic)	
		MR mechanism ²	Describe underlying mechanism of valve failure (eg restriction of P2/3 due to underlying RWMA, or P2 flail with additional P1 prolapse)	
		LV dimensions LVEF LV wall motion abnormalities	See chamber quantification guidelines	
		LA size		
		RV dimensions RV function	TAPSE, TDI	
		PAP	severity	
		TR	presence, severity, aetiology (eg annulus dilatation 2o RV dilatation, 1o valve lesion include prolapse)	
		BP recorded BSA recorded	index values as appropriate (eg LV size)	
		TOE indication	question over MR severity poor imaging clarification of mechanism	

References

- (1) standard imaging planes are given below Monin JL et al JACC 2005; 46(2): 302-9
- (2) leaflet mobility: MECHANISM 'Carpentier's classification' in relation to annulus plane it is helpful to think and so describe this in terms of aetiology and mechanism of valve failure
- (3) *Agricola E et al. Eur J echocardiography 2004: 5; 326-34, NB measurements from **PLAX view** **Calafiore AM et al. Ann Thorac Surg 2004; 77: 1989-97, NB measurements from **4C view**
- (4) Nordblom P et al Echocardiography 2007: 24(7); 665-72: 38 normals, 60% women
- (5) Louie EK et al. JACC 1996: 28(2); 465-71 NB TOE study
- (6) d'Alessandro C et al. Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg2007:32;596-603
- (7) Levine RA et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1988;11(5):1010-9